

122. But for the aforementioned defective and unreasonably dangerous conditions, the Diet Drugs would not have been prescribed to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs would not have ingested the drugs, and Plaintiffs would not have sustained the injuries alleged herein.

123. As a direct and legal result of the defective condition of the Diet Drugs, Plaintiffs have sustained serious and permanent injuries including, but not limited to, injuries to the heart, pulmonary system and/or other related injuries, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and/or nursing care and treatment, loss of earnings and loss of the ability to earn money in the future. Plaintiffs' injuries and losses are continuing in nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for damages, as well as all costs of this action.

COUNT II
STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY
FAILURE TO WARN

124. Plaintiffs adopt by reference all of the allegations above, each inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

125. Diet Drugs were defective and unreasonably dangerous when it left the possession of Defendants in that Diet Drugs contained warnings which were misleading regarding the purported benefits associated with the drug and were inadequate and insufficient to alert physicians and consumers, such as Plaintiffs, to the dangerous risks and reactions associated with the drugs, including, but not limited to, pulmonary hypertension, heart valve disorders, and other serious and life threatening side affects, especially since any weight loss experienced was transitory. Plaintiffs' injuries and losses are continuing in nature.

126. The physicians prescribed the Diet Drugs to Plaintiffs for the intended purpose.

127. Neither the prescribing physicians nor Plaintiffs could have discovered any defect in the drug through the exercise of reasonable care.

128. Defendants are held to the level of knowledge of an expert in the field.

129. The prescribing physicians did not have substantially the same knowledge as an adequate warning from the manufacturer or distributor should have communicated to the prescribing physicians.

130. The warnings that were given by Defendants to the prescribing physicians were not adequate, accurate, or clear, and were ambiguous.

131. The limited warnings which were provided to the doctors were inappropriately placed in the fine print of the materials provided to the prescribing physicians, and Defendants failed to display those warnings prominently enough such that prescribing physicians and the consuming public would appreciate the true risks of severe and life threatening complications which had been reported in association with Diet Drugs, including, but not limited to, the pulmonary hypertension and VHD.

132. Defendants had a continuing duty to warn the prescribing physicians and Plaintiffs of the dangers associated with the Diet Drugs.

133. As a direct and legal result of Defendants' failure to warn, Plaintiffs have sustained serious and permanent injuries including, but not limited to, injuries to the heart, pulmonary system and/or other physical injuries, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care and treatment, loss of earnings and loss of the ability to earn money in the future. Plaintiffs' injuries and losses are continuing in nature. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for damages, as well as all costs of this action.

COUNT III

NEGLIGENCE

134. Plaintiffs adopt by reference all of the allegations above, each inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

135. Defendants directly or indirectly, negligently and/or defectively made, created, formulated, tested, developed, designed, licensed, assembled, compounded, manufactured, marketed, promoted, advertised, distributed, labeled, detailed, supplied, packaged and/or sold the Diet Drugs throughout the United States.

136. At all times material hereto, Defendants had a duty to Plaintiffs to exercise reasonable care in the researching, formulating, testing, developing, designing, licensing, assembling, compounding, marketing, promoting, distributing, detailing, and/or selling of Diet Drugs.

137. Defendants breached that duty and was negligent in its actions and omissions toward Plaintiffs and their prescribing physicians in ways which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Failure to include adequate warnings with the drugs that would alert physicians to the potential risks and serious side effects of the drug;
- b. Failure to adequately and properly test the drug before placing the drugs on the market;
- c. Failure to conduct sufficient testing of the drugs which, if properly performed, would have shown that the drug had serious side effects, including, but not limited to, pulmonary hypertension and heart valve disorders;
- d. Failure to adequately warn Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians that use of the drugs should be accompanied by a professional examination and regularly scheduled follow-up examinations so that pulmonary hypertension, heart valve disorders and other serious side effects could be avoided or detected early;
- e. Failure to adequately warn Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians that use of the drugs carried a risk of pulmonary hypertension, VHD, and other serious side effects;
- f. Failure to adequately warn Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians that use of the drugs carried a risk of temporary or permanent disability due to pulmonary hypertension, VHD, and other serious side effects

- g. Failure to warn Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians that use of the drug carried a risk that heart surgery might become necessary to repair or replace heart valves damaged by the drug;
- h. Failure to provide adequate post-marketing warnings or instructions after Defendants knew or should have known of the significant risks of pulmonary and/or VHD and/or cardiovascular injury from the use of the drug;
- i. Failure to adequately warn Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians that the drug should not be prescribed for a long period of time or for use in conjunction with other weight loss drugs;
- j. Failure to warn the prescribing doctors that the use of the drug should be limited to those who specialized in the treatment of obesity;
- k. Failure to warn Plaintiffs' prescribing doctors that use of the drug should be limited to the morbidly obese and not used for cosmetic loss of weight;
- l. Failure to warn Plaintiffs' prescribing doctors that the drug would not substantially reduce weight or reduce weight for a long period of time;
- m. Failure to warn Plaintiffs' prescribing doctors that the use of the drug had not been properly studied as to safety in animals or humans; and
- n. Failure to display the warnings that were provided in a manner which would properly alert the prescribing doctors as to the seriousness of the adverse events which had been reported in association with the drug.

138. Defendants knew or should have known that Diet Drugs caused unreasonably dangerous risks and serious side effects of which Plaintiffs and the prescribing physicians would not be aware.

139. But for Defendants' negligent conduct as described herein, Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians would have never prescribed Diet Drugs to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs would not have ingested Diet Drugs and Plaintiffs would not have suffered harm from ingesting Diet Drugs.

140. As a direct and legal result of the negligence of Defendants, Plaintiffs have sustained serious and permanent injuries including, but not limited to, injuries to the heart, pulmonary system and/or other physical injuries; disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care and treatment, loss of earnings and loss of the ability to earn money in the future. Plaintiffs' injuries and losses are continuing in nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for damages, as well as all costs of this action.

COUNT IV
FRAUDULENT/NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

141. Plaintiffs adopt by reference all of the allegations above, each inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

142. Defendants, having undertaken the manufacturing, marketing, prescription dispensing, distributing and promotion of Diet Drugs owed a duty to provide complete and accurate information regarding the drug to Plaintiffs, their physicians, and anyone else Defendants knew or should have known would ingest or prescribe the drug.

143. Defendants misrepresented material facts regarding the safety and efficacy the diet drug, and failed to inform Plaintiffs, the public and Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians these material facts.

144. Defendants fraudulently and/or negligently misrepresented to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' physicians, the FDA, and the general public that Diet Drugs were safe and effective, that the benefits of taking the drug outweighed any risks, and/or fraudulently and/or negligently misrepresented and concealed safety and effectiveness information regarding the product, including but not limited to the drug's propensity to cause serious physical harm. The continuous and ongoing course of action constituting fraudulent and/or negligent misrepresentation on Plaintiffs started as early as 1992, if not earlier, and continued through repeated acts and non-disclosure every year since then throughout the United States and elsewhere.

145. Diet Drugs were in fact unsafe and the use of Diet Drugs posed a risk of injury and death which outweighed the purported benefits of its use, such that injury was in fact caused to Plaintiffs and others.

146. Defendants made fraudulent and/or negligent misrepresentations regarding adverse information at a time when it knew, or should have known, that Diet Drugs had defects, dangers, and characteristics that were other than what Defendants had represented to the prescribing doctors or other dispensing entities, the FDA, and the consuming public, including Plaintiffs. Specifically, Defendants misrepresented the following:

- a. It was dangerous to prescribe the Diet Drugs;
- b. Diet Drugs were not intended for cosmetic weight-loss;
- c. The Diet Drugs carried risks of serious adverse effects;
- d. After discontinuing use, most users of the Diet Drugs would regain any weight lost as a result of its use;
- e. There had been insufficient studies regarding the safety and efficacy of the Diet Drugs for use in treating weight loss;
- f. That prior studies, research, reports and/or testing had been conducted linking the use of the drug or similar drugs, to serious adverse reactions, including, but not limited to, pulmonary hypertension, and VHD;
- g. The fact Defendants knew, or should have known of twenty-five (25) cases of heart-valve damage reported in Belgium and/or elsewhere in Europe related to the drug or similar drugs;
- h. The fact that Defendants knew or should have known of the greatly increased risk of developing pulmonary hypertension, as well as a great number of reports of the disorder related to the drugs' use;
- i. the known number of cases reported to Defendants of persons who had contracted pulmonary hypertension after ingesting Diet Drugs;
- j. The results of studies on animals, which revealed marked abnormalities in the cardiac and/or pulmonary tissues of these animals following diet drug ingestion;
- k. The safety and efficacy of Diet Drugs in labeling, advertising, product inserts, and other materials;
- l. The number of deaths that had been associated with Diet Drugs, the number of cases of heart valve damage associated with the drug, the number of cases of pulmonary hypertension associated with the drug, and the fact that the drug had been associated with pulmonary hypertension and VHD;
- m. That the Diet Drugs were less effective than a placebo in achieving their intended purpose; and
- n. The nature and extent of any beneficial health effect the Diet Drugs would provide the user.

147. The misrepresentations alleged above were perpetuated directly and indirectly by the Defendants.

148. The fraudulent and/or negligent misrepresentations of Defendants took the form of, among other things, express and implied statements, publicly disseminated misinformation, misinformation provided to regulatory agencies, inadequate, incomplete and misleading warnings about the subject products, failure to disclose important safety and injury information regarding the products while having a duty to disclose to Plaintiffs and others such information.

149. Defendants knew or should have known that these representations were misleading at the time they were made or omitted, and made the representations with the intent or purpose that Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' physicians would rely on them, leading to the use of the Diet Drugs by Plaintiffs.

150. At the time of Defendants' fraudulent and/or negligent misrepresentations, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' physicians were unaware of the inaccuracy of the statements being made and believed them to be true.

151. Plaintiffs' physicians and Plaintiffs justifiably relied on and were induced by the misrepresentations and relied on the absence of adverse safety information in the prescription and ingestion of the Diet Drugs.

152. Defendants had a post-sale duty to warn Plaintiffs and or Plaintiffs' physicians about the potential risks and complications associated with Diet Drugs in a timely manner.

153. The misrepresentations by Defendants constitute a continuing tort.

154. Defendants made the statements and/or omissions with the intention that Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians or other dispensing entities and the consuming public would rely on such or the absence of such information in selecting Diet Drugs as a treatment for weight loss.

155. As a direct and legal result of the fraudulent and/or negligent misrepresentations of Defendants, Plaintiffs have sustained serious and permanent injuries including, but not limited to,

injuries to the heart, pulmonary system and/or other physical injuries, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care and treatment, loss of earnings, and loss of the ability to earn money in the future. Plaintiffs' injuries and losses are continuing in nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants for damages, as well as all costs of this action.

COUNT V
FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT
(AGAINST DEFENDANT INTERNEURON ONLY)

156. Plaintiffs adopt by reference all of the allegations above, each inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

157. To date, even in light of the existence of overwhelming scientific proof in the form of countless epidemiologic studies and other tests and/or studies, Defendant, Interneuron, still claims that “[b]ased on the results of studies to date, the incidence of cardiac valve abnormalities has been shown to be less than that suggested by the original FDA preliminary analysis. In general, these studies have shown either no or relatively small differences, although in some cases statistically significant, between the incidence of cardiac valve abnormalities, as defined by the FDA, among patients who took Redux and placebo-treated patients and that the incidence of such abnormalities among Redux patients was less than previously reported estimate.”

158. Furthermore, in response to law suits which have been brought against Interneuron by shareholders claiming that Interneuron misled shareholders and committed securities fraud relating to its actions associated with the approval and subsequent marketing of Redux, Interneuron has

plainly yet fallaciously stated that it did not conceal known risks regarding Redux, and it has uniformly denied the causal link between Redux ingestion and the injuries referenced herein.

159. Interneuron, having undertaken the manufacturing, marketing, prescription dispensing, distributing and promotion of Redux owed a duty to provide complete and accurate information regarding the drug to Plaintiffs, their physicians, and anyone else it knew or should have known would ingest or prescribe Redux.

160. Interneuron has misrepresented material facts regarding the safety and efficacy of the Diet Drugs, and failed to inform Plaintiffs, the public and Plaintiffs' prescribing physicians these material facts, to this day.

161. The continuous and ongoing course of action constituting fraudulent concealment on Plaintiffs started as early as 1992, if not earlier, and continued through repeated acts and non-disclosure every year since then throughout the United States and elsewhere.

162. Interneuron actively concealed adverse information at a time when it knew, or should have known, that Redux had defects, dangers, and characteristics that were other than what it knew or should have known existed regarding the dangerous side effects associated with Redux.

163. The active concealment alleged were perpetuated directly and indirectly by Interneuron, and took the form of, among other things, express and implied statements, publicly disseminated misinformation, misinformation provided to regulatory agencies, inadequate, incomplete and misleading warnings about the subject products, and a campaign of misinformation intended to convince Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs prescribing physicians, and the public that Redux is not associated with VHD or PH, and is in fact a safe and effective product.

164. Interneuron knew or should have known that these representations were false or misleading at the time they were made or omitted or concealed, and made the representations with the intent or

purpose that Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' physicians would rely on them, leading to the use of Redux by Plaintiffs, and with the specific intention that Plaintiffs rely on such misrepresentations and concealment by delaying in obtaining appropriate medical care and monitoring, in discovering their injuries associated with the use of Redux, and in discovering that such injuries were caused by the acts and omissions of Interneuron.

165. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' physicians had no knowledge of the information concealed and suppressed by Defendants and were unaware of the inaccuracy of any statements being made and believed them to be true.

166. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' physicians justifiably relied on and were induced by Interneuron's active concealment and relied on such actions, statements, and omissions.

167. Interneuron had a post-sale duty to warn Plaintiffs and or Plaintiffs' physicians about the potential risks and complications associated with Redux in a timely manner.

168. The misrepresentations and active concealment by Interneuron constitutes a continuing tort.

169. Such concealment has served to toll any applicable statute of limitations that applies to Plaintiffs' claims against Interneuron. As a direct result of the concealment, and their justified reliance thereon, Plaintiffs did not and could not have discovered their injuries caused by the ingestion of Redux, until they received an echocardiogram which indicated the presence of FDA positive valvular heart disease and did not and could not have discovered that such injury was caused by the acts and omissions of Interneuron or their ingestion of Redux..

170. As a direct and legal result of the fraudulent concealment by Interneuron, Plaintiffs have sustained serious and permanent injuries including, but not limited to, injuries to the heart, pulmonary system and/or other physical injuries, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of

capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care and treatment, loss of earnings, and loss of the ability to earn money in the future. Plaintiffs' injuries and losses are continuing in nature.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendant Interneuron for damages, as well as all costs of this action.

COUNT VI
G.L. c. 93A UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE PRACTICES
(AGAINST DEFENDANTS INTERNEURON AND BOEHRINGER ONLY)

171. Plaintiffs adopt by reference all of the allegations above, each inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

172. Defendants Interneuron and Boehringer were at all times material hereto engaged in the conduct of trade and commerce throughout the United States including the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the States within which Plaintiffs were prescribed and ingested Redux.

173. Defendants Interneuron and Boehringer engaged in trade and commerce with respect to the design, manufacture, approval, marketing, promotion, distribution and sale of Redux, a defective product, which was unfit for its intended use, and which had risks that substantially outweighed any benefits.

174. Defendants Interneuron and Boehringer, in furtherance of their business of trade and commerce, did knowingly and willfully fail to disclose to Plaintiffs individually and by and through their physicians information about the risks associated with the ingestion of Redux.

175. Interneuron and Boehringer made misleading statements and failed to disclose information to Plaintiffs individually and by and through their physicians concerning Redux in its marketing, promotion, distribution, and sale.

176. Interneuron and Boehringer knew the facts concerning Redux were material to Plaintiffs and their physicians in assessing the safety of Redux. Defendants also knew that withholding this information would place Plaintiffs at further risk of injury.

177. Interneuron and Boehringer made these misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts for the purpose of inducing Plaintiffs to purchase and ingest Redux.

178. Plaintiffs relied to their detriment on Interneuron's and Boehringer's representations that Redux was an effective and relatively risk free diet drug.

179. As a result of their reliance and as a direct and proximate cause of Interneuron's and Boehringer's willful or knowing unfair or deceptive acts or practices in violation of G.L. c. 93A, § 2, Plaintiffs have sustained serious and permanent injuries including, but not limited to, injuries to the heart, pulmonary system and/or other physical injuries, disability, disfigurement, mental anguish, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, expense of hospitalization, medical and nursing care and treatment, loss of earnings, and loss of the ability to earn money in the future. Plaintiffs' injuries and losses are continuing in nature.

180. Interneuron's and Boehringer's violation of G.L. c. 93A, § 2 entitles Plaintiffs individually to an award of actual damages and reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with said action.

181. Interneuron's and Boehringer's actions which resulted in their failure to provide accurate and sufficient information about the true risks of pulmonary hypertension, VHD and other injuries constitute unfair and deceptive acts and practices as defined in G.L. c. 93A.


182. Defendants knowingly and willfully engaged in these unfair and deceptive acts and practices in violation of G.L. c. 93A, § 2, entitling Plaintiffs to an award of up to treble but not less than double damages against Defendants Interneuron and Boehringer.

183. Defendants' unfair and deceptive acts and practices occurred primarily and substantially within Massachusetts because, among other things: Defendants' principal place of business is in Lexington, Massachusetts and at all relevant times Defendants and its officials were conducting business in Massachusetts when they engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices; and Defendants committed said unfair and deceptive acts in marketing, promoting, packaging, labeling, compounding, distributing, detailing, and/or selling Redux to the public, including Plaintiffs.

184. Plaintiffs have substantially complied and/or will comply with all requirements of G.L. c. 93A, § 9.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants Interneuron and Boehringer for damages, including actual damages, which Plaintiffs request to be trebled or doubled by the Court, as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with this action, and any other relief this Court deems proper.

PLAINTIFFS CLAIM A TRIAL BY JURY



Edward J. Barshak (BBO No. 032040)
Michael S. Appel, (BBO No. 543898)
Sugarman, Rogers, Barshak & Cohen, P.C.
101 Merrimac Street, 9th Floor
Boston, MA 02114
(617) 227-3030

Samuel W. Lanham, Jr.
Cuddy & Lanham, P.A.
470 Evergreen Woods
Bangor, ME 04401
(207) 942-2898

Neil D. Overholtz
Aylstock, Witkin & Sasser, P.L.C.
55 Baybridge Drive
P.O. Box 1147
Gulf Breeze, FL 32562-1147
(850) 916-7450

DATED: March 10, 2004

EXHIBIT B


**DEFENDANT BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.'S CONSENT TO REMOVAL**

Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., ("Boehringer") is a defendant in the cases listed in Appendix A. Boehringer hereby consents to the removal of the cases listed in Appendix A to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, Eastern Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 et seq.

Dated: April 27, 2004
Boston, Massachusetts

Barbara Wrubel
Katherine Armstrong
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Respectfully submitted,



Matthew J. Matule (BBO #632075)
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
One Beacon Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 573-4800

*Counsel for Defendant
Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.*

APPENDIX A

Case Name	Court	Case #
Mary Andrus; Eleanor Archambeau; Diane Bonneau; Lertis Caldwell; Priscilla Cote; Theresa DeJoy; Loral Fankhauser; Nancy Kennedy; Barbara Williams; Lucinda Youell v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc. F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0909
Kathy Apperson; Maria Contardi; Betty Flores; Marcia Frazier; Dian Hoyt; Sheila D. Jennings; Gloria Larson; Pamela Marshall; Janet Wilson; Pamela Wright-Shaw v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0910
Lisa Anderson; Marcia Barnhurst; Lisa Bebo; Alice Manning; Gilbert Matheu; Mary Jo Rhinehardt; Patsy Rogers; Mary Uzoma; Sharon Walls; Linda Wilson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0911
Theresa Amadeo; Lucretia Dodson; Vivian Dunn; Sharon Estep; John Justice; Janice McKenzie; Rebecca Price; Patty Varnado; Brenda Wheeler; Tamara Wolters v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0912
Terry Alexander; Vickie Andreason; Alice Baker; Glythina Batiste; Elisabeth McCartin; Eleanor Petho; Judith Ranney; Jacqueline Sasso; Myra Tart; Stacy Trowbridge v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0913

James Hill Sr.; Margaret Jackson; Sangeeta Kochlar; Shirley Lankford; Mary Lee; Marjorie Lightfoot; Joanne Martin; Elnora Michael; Christina Mott; Gary Willer v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0924
Scott Suber; James Warrington; Linda Winter; Roselyn Ball; Bonnie Brandjes; Carol Ebert-Teahl; Annie Harris; Samantha Nicole Harris; Seletha Hawkins; Rebecca Kottas v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0925
Barbara Symonds; Arthur Adams; Norma Alderman; Laura Sexton Ambrose; Rachel Chahir; Sandra Forrest; Lee Ann Hillegas; Beverly Brougher; Patsy Cundiff; Shirley Dean v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0926
Lisa Dumond; Valerie Crain; Pamela Gary-Green; Lucille Kincaid; Mary Pendleton; Gail Shinholster; Janice Cooper; Dolly Bethany; Staci Fowler; Joyce Johnson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0927
Hattie Spencer; Nancy Cole; Lina Gold; Charles Leatherbury; Janice Monroe; Tamra D. Steward; Douglas Greenfield; Theresa Russell; Nancy Anderson; Laura Bates v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0928
Josephine Meidinger; Tabitha Trim/Demmings; Linda Volmer; Parniya Whitehead; Sylvia Coleman; Lisa Dignan; Pamela Helmuth; Venita Angela Lane; Joyce Blackshire; Kathy Teal-Simpson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-0929

<p>Barbara Kozma; Denise Moss; Mary Parsons; Anthony Pelusi; Peter Rothschild; Kim Steinsult; Margaret Anne Criswell; Barbara Bookson; Ursula Garnett; Eugenia Jupierre v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0930</p>
<p>Carol Beane; Debra Williams; Mary Clark; Mary Cooley; Rozell Harper; Margaret Harris; Virginia Heller; Barbara Humphreys; Shirley Larson; Billy O'Rear v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0931</p>
<p>Anna Krishnappa; Rebecca McCormack; Albert Miller; William Moriarty; Diana O'Neill; Allen Owens; Elizabeth Parsons; Wilhemina Paulin; Antonia Peterman-Clark; Jane Phillips v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0932</p>
<p>Luise Badger; Karl Baker; Julia Brumfield; Antonia Clark; Mary Crawley; Audrey Decha; Fred Eriamiatoe; Michael Gaito; Angela Hardin; Martin Hymowitz v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0933</p>
<p>Barbara A. Rhone; Ruby Barnes-Hauser; Sheila Fisher; Patti Humphrey; Barbara Akers; Alma Bostic; Deloris Bullard; Nancy Guliano; Joyce Harris; Susan Macasevich v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0934</p>

<p>Jamie Roberts; Debra Rozzelle; Veronica Sanders; Sandra Schacter; Middlesex Superior Court Lionel Scott; Ronnie Sloan; Sharon Ullman; Edward Warren; Ronald Wood; Elihu Woodson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	2004-0935
<p>Ruth Carver; Dianna Clay; Mary Coles; Deborah Cornwell; Kimberly Deans; Pauline "Niki" Durgin; Barbara Friend; Joseph J. Hands; Gwen Harper; Cheril M. Harris v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0936
<p>Patricia Clayton, Paula Clark, Rebecca Cabbage, Joseph Fiscaro, Linda Hebb, Paul Koether, Gregory Krams, Jocelyn LoPuzzo, Katalin Ross, Beverly Schrecongost v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0937
<p>Linda Wright; Gladys Belcher; Sandra Borer; Veronica Holmquist; Jean Laresca; Ginger Omland; Roxanne Robinson; Deborah A. Gorsuch; Linda Luhtala; Kelli Mann v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0938
<p>Julee Hansen; Sharon Miller; Lillian Rodriguez; Norma Bozarth; Patricia Dabbs; Frances Dean; Lura Dillard; Linda Dobson; Sha'ron Downing; Alan Dryden v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	Middlesex Superior Court 2004-0939
<p>Lynn Burgess; Kelly Cheney; Tammy Church; Lorenz Fuerst; Faye McCarty; Cloma Padilla; Tamra Redic; Connie Roberts; Donna Schneider; Mary Sims v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</p>	Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1036

Judy Sosa, Myrna Crutcher, Roberta Tarozzi, Wendy Farris, Geraldine Hartman v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1093
Gertrude Anderson; Wendy Burkes; Minla Massie; Ella McElroy; Erika Richardson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1151
Joseph Perkins; Shawanda Robinson-Woods; Fredrick Walker; Mary Mullen; Dinah Boatwright v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1152
Maryann Laird v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1153
Mary Walker v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1154
Janina Jauregui-Ruiz; Debbie Jones; Melinda Joseph; Jamie Madison; Edilia Quiroz; Charles Roberts v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1184
Chester Kajkowski; Brenda Killingsworth; Jill Kolberg; Lorene Lindsay; Lucy Long; Lory Lyon; Lillian Malone; Kevin Mazzoni; Connie McLaurin v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1185

Jane Antuono; Leisa Boutwell; Beverly Brown; Margaret Colosimo; Patricia Crawford; Donna Dryer; Dorothy Ellis; Shirley Garrett; Susan Ginsberg; Alyce Greer v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1186
Sandra Carothers; Carol Fiorino; Barbara Hachandi; George Heinzinger; Geneva Henderson; Cathy Jones; Connie Munoz; Jeanne Strawbridge; Rubie Wade; Marilyn Wilbert v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1187
Debbie Daily; Vera Frederick; Gregory Hoover; Clarita Luoma; Thomas Marino; Yvonne Michalkiewicz; Norma Neil; Doris Parrish; Donna Tisdale; Willis Williamson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1188
Mary Alkire; Patricia Badger; Belinda Barnes; Carol Blair; Marie Boyer; Chris Bracken; Grace Breland; Renee Brewer; Cynthia Bright; Gina Byrd v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1189
Kristin Ellis; Marie Emerson; Melba Eubanks; Kimberly Gwaltney; Mary Hardy; William Hayes; Stephanie Howell; Annie Jefferson; Regis Jirsa; Colletta Jones v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1190
Gloria Ceden; Denise Clark-Duval; Priscilla Crithfield; Sandi Damico; George Dantos; Donald Darling; Karen Delgado; Noella Dubois; Florence Eckley; John Edmunds v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1191

Jacqueline Asbelle; Frankie Bell; Rebecca Boyce; Awilda Vega; Gary Walters; Phebe Watson; Ilona Wentzell; Bettie Wesley; Patricia Wright; Sharon Wrigil v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1192
Deborah Erick; Ellen Evans; Evelyn Ford; Velma Hale; Bruce Kittle; Christine Montgomery; Wylene Person; Elaine Reynolds; Jenell Roberts; Elizabeth Sheffield v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1193
Lynne Saluzzo; Ruth Schaller; Leah Simpson; Edna Smurthwaite; Judith Southwell; Bernard Sparkman, Edward Sullivan; Diana Sweeney; Evelyn Taylor; Doris Trotter v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1194
Robin Miller; Margaret Mitchell; Geraldine Moudy; Wanda Nafziger; Nina Peed; Jeffrey Pfister; Theresa Prescott; Crystal Raymos; Pamela Rendsland-Tonello; Paula Resseger v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1195
Kimberly Britton; Donna Cumberledge; Cheryl Grossman; Mary Richardson; Anniece Smith; Deloris Stone; Carolyn Thorpe-Baker; Deborah Wales; Eva Williams; Grace Wright v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1196
Dolores Balistreri; Debra Bradfield; Karen Dickerson; Lois Feiler; Bettye Mossman; Natalie Selman; Earlene Shedrick; Maxine Stansberry; Bonnie Williams; Penelope Winning v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1197

Rhoda DaCasta; Eleanor DeBerry; Rhona Defoe; Joyce Hall; James Harris; Sara Lesko; Flora Lott; George Luther; Rose McElroy; Sheila Webb v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1198
Angie Armstrong; Ellen Gae Daggs; John Forrester; Betty Fowler; Paula Gill; Patricia Herrmann; Sigrid LeGrand; Deborah Morrison; Lynn Smith; Tracy Sugar v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1199
Connie Aguilar; Glenda Balkwill; Constance Haynes; Theron John; Cheri Jones, Charles Jordy; Shelly Matthews; Anita McDonald; Shirley Nichols; Mary "Cha" Snyder v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1200
Louise Colerick; Salmo Cook; Richard Dezensgremel; Elizabeth Diehl; Joyce Harmon; Denise Pfnister; Walter Robinson; Sanford Sellers; Karen Walters; Karen Wieder v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1209
Wilma Atherton, Merri Brown; Cheryl Johnson; Elaine Miller; Martha Nesta; Mark Ricken; Joanne Sorenson; Kathleen Spence; Jo Ann Stevenson; Bob Weidler v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1210
Gloria Fuller; Lynne Lindstrom; Clara Parker; Caroline Rodriguez v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1211

Amy Strong; Susan Shipley; Nancy Meyer; Lila Haugland v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1219
Marlys Ellingson; Deceased by and through Elroy Ellingson, Personal Representative of the Estate of Marlys Ellingson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1220
Harold Chalkline; Karen Christensen; Robin Fansler; Oliver Graves; Anita Grussing; Kathy Kettwig; Deb Patterson; Renee Reineccius; Rita Richer; Allison Stull v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1221
Debbie Hauk, Shelda Lunsford, Jeanne Plantenbe, Bonnie Richmond, Holly Sharpe, Wayne Sump, Lois Tate, Cathy Reeves Vaughn, David Vohs, Wanda M. Wilson, Robert Ziebell v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1230
Debra M. Miller, Cathy C. Mendez, Dana C. Ogle, Charles E. Peake, Charlotte A. Pisarich, Nerieda E. Rios, Donna L. Stamper, Michelle M. Whitaker, Felicia E. White, Cammie L. Summers v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1231
Jackie Cole, Lorraine Cook, Dorothy Falk, Anita Farrington, Loretta Fisher, Gwendolyn Hall, Carol Lunt, Wanda Merkins-Radford, Rhonda Rowe, Irene South, Katherine Tigue, Elizabeth Austin, Diana Britton, Lela Caward, Frances Delgado, Patricia Free, Dawn Herrick, Leona Johnstone, Shirley Brown, Sandra Smith v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1232

<p>Brenda Hunt, Claudette Jackson, Hattie Johnson, Barbara Long, Pamela Lyons, Richard Matheny, Linda McKinney, Mary Michalak, Ella Molden, Earline Richardson, Sarah Roberts, Karen Sexton, Mary Tarleton, Thomas Tarleton, Lucy Waters, Sharon Willis, Sandra Hensley, Loyce Lovvo, Beverly Sharp, Vivian Lee v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1233</p>
<p>Patricia Adams, Doris Allen, Jimmy Altman, Linda Artino, Jeanette Barwick, Doris Bastain, Dawn Bilsky, Dorothy Blackburn, Renee Chestnut, Rachel Cox, Ivy Davis, Mary Dixon, Mary Evans, Jackie Fallaw, Barbara Gladin, Billy Glenn, Faye Harbin, Beth Hawkins, Lillie Hogg, Marie Hubbard v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1234</p>
<p>Linda Taylor, Judith Van Putten-Guzman, Vivian Vaughan, Helen Walls, Reba Wilks, Jacqueline Williams, Mary Williams, Monquie Williams, Cynthia Wills, Janice Wilson, Doris Young, Pauline Hills, Nila Martin, Steve Meier, Leah Orr, Gayla Smith, Linda Blair, Shani Dunsil, Ruth Standish, Peggy Jenkins v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1235</p>
<p>Carolyn Jenkins, Debra Koontz, Debra Leach, Dorris Lee, Betty Lemmons, Bobbie Letchworth, Mary Locklear, Auvelene Magee, Ella Matthews, Sharron McConnell, Charles McDonald, Gloria McGee, Mary Neal, Barbara O'Neal, Patricia Plume, Paul Powell, Jameria Riggsbee, Vicki Riley, Gloria Roach, Stephanie Simpson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1237</p>
<p>Charles Arrowood, Christie Avery, Roy Aycock, Debra Barnett, Rosa Black, Frances Blosser, Gwendolyn Braswell, Francissena Brimmage, Linda Callahan, Helen Campbell, Clyde Chandler, Marie DeMoss, Richard Edwards, Ancala Franks, Nan Frost, Dawn Furr, Linda Greene, Deborah Hallman, Wilma Harris, Martha Jarvis v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1238</p>

<p>Micheal Black, Lucille Cassell, Karen Davis, Deborah Flores, Patricia Freeman, Leta Henderson, Jerry Hudson, Barbara Karnes, Kathryn Kindle-Brockmiller, Ruth Lilly, Nancy Long, Sharron Maul, Shirley Siever, Josephine Viehman, Linda Boyd, Ralph Brunkhorst, Carol Vaughan, Gwendelyn Dixon, Tammy Hoppas, Janice Mayberry v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1239</p>
<p>Dorothy Ambroz, Valetta Ansley, Jeannette Baker, Rita Barnes, Vince Basile, Palma Bennett, Joan Benson, Illa Mae Dannat, Connie De Sotel, Patti Diehl, Dianna Draper, Oline Forch, Hilda Garcia, Linda Heysinger, Joanne Holmes, Evelyn Humphrey, Walter Knipper, Sharon Kornegay, Edythe Kroon, Cheryl Krzmarzic v Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1243</p>
<p>Gloria M. Ewing, Kellie C. Anderson, Mitzi L. Beaman, Sandy K. Carpenter, Effie Chambliss, Kathleen M. Cuevas, Karen A. Davis, Stephen K. Davis, Jan C. Dickey, Denise F. Dingler, Charlene Eaton, Natalie B. Fournier, Cathy G. Haynsworth, Karey M. Hill, Mary E. Hobson, Iva J. Lewis, Charles K. Little, Janice L. Mabry, Lucita Selmon, Delores L. Shankle v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1244</p>
<p>Jerry Dacus, Frances Dacus, Geneva S. Willis, Barbara Inman v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1248</p>
<p>Talisha Armstrong, Margaret Harris, Sally A. Johnston, Dottie Rozenbeck, David W. Smith v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1251</p>

Patsy Crouch, Roy Smith, Rita Laubner, Jane McGinnis v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1255
Elizabeth Carr, Susan Casados, Sharon Lunsford, Sheila Sjöholm and Theda Stanley v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1256
Sandra Alden, Brenda Scarbrough-Killgore, Darlene Seeley, Juanita Weeks and Mildred White v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1257
Frances Chance, Jane French, Pamela Hammer, Nellie Hazelwood, Vickie Hunter v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1259
Dorine Harrelson, Brenda Hart, Charles Sullivan, Linda Thompson, Helen Wyatt v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1260
Linda Bailey, Darlene Brown, Lora Cook, Dean Dornath, Debbie Goldsberry, Ronnie Grooms, Shirley A. Hampton, Jennifer Kokjohn, Carol Marcus v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1261
Jim Luckritz, Wanda Barnett v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1262

<p>Sanda Kline, Neidra Prince v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1264</p>
<p>Sue Anderson, Linda W. Jones, Mildred Mock, Barbara Rash, Judy West v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1266</p>
<p>Linda Dolliver, Kathryn Gamble, Susan Moore, Genelle Neal, Janna Patterson, Cecilia Sargeant, Katherine Sentell, Alice Taylor, Cora Ann Watkins (Burchill), Martha Wonsewitz v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1267</p>
<p>Dianna Hitchcock, Ruth Messersmith, Virginia Potts, Linda Upton, Linda Van Natta v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1268</p>
<p>Lorraine Cline, Rene' Haye, Bonnie Bernard, Donna Fleck, Geraldine Mataras, Sharon Westfall v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1269</p>
<p>Russell Arnold, Janet D'Arcy, Rosa Dietiker, Violet Farmer, Susan Fortson, Debbie Ostwinkle, Nina Owens, Gracie Russell, Teresa Sexton, Leneisa Willoughby v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court 2004-1270</p>

Elizabeth F. Bischof, Renva Brewer, Dorothy Campbell, Francine Cook, Johnny Cook, Portia Howard, Angela Jones, Mary Latta Lee, Tammy Lovett, Frankie Reager v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1271
Barbara Bartholomew, Tricia Brown, Sherry Campbell-Drewery; Janet Flecher, Gloria Gardner, Lauren Garland, Patricia Hedgecock, Bonnie McKelvey, Lular Parker, Judy Parsons, Robert Renix, Laura Walter, Claudia Williams v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1272
Evelyn Becker; Daisy Bell; Rita Bicknell, April Boone, Alida Buckner, Aleta Cox, Ronald Daanen, Martha Duncan, Jo Durham, Jeffory Edwards, Terry Fameree, Deborah Feaster, Daniel Grohn, Anna Gustafson; Catherine Hart, Gail Harvey; Katherine Hendrickson, Sandra Jolin, Kathryn Kieffer, Dorothy King, Jeri Mann, Patricia Mantey, Jennifer Moffitt; Julie Muehlbauer, Paul Price, Sharon Ratz, Cynthia Rittase, Sue Rohde-Perry, Donna Sanicola, Laverne Schoonover, Judy Seibert, E. Shepherd, Jerry Simmons, Mitzie Skiles, Diane Stokes, Patricia Stuckey, Helen Taylor, Jackie Turner, Elizabeth Waukau; Dorothea Weyers, Jeffrey Whiting, Christine Wilczek, Lois York, Angeline Zimmerman v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1273
Debra Barefoot, Devolion Brown, Nancy M. Jones, James McLaurin, Paige Riley, Joyce Sheroan, William Singletary, Dorothy Skaggs, Mary Steele, Janet Walters v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1274
Mary Cowell, Suzanne Gibson, Steve Hanson, Sandy Hermann, Theresa Jackson, Sharon Kay Lee, Judith Mullins, Eloise Thrift, Patricia Wilkerson v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Middlesex Superior Court	2004-1275

<p>Ellen Fletcher, Norma Fuller, Gloria Gerace, Sheryl Irby, Kelli Knippers, Robert Goodman, Kelli Maddux (Knickerbocker) v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court</p>	<p>2004-1276</p>
<p>Vickie M. Bishop, Joyce Lyken, Vicky Pamperin, Clara Rouse, Mable Sexton, Debra Wallin v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court</p>	<p>2004-1277</p>
<p>Lynnette Ault, Nan Barber, Jeanne Buhl, Susan Clarke, Debra Dinslage, Janice Everitt, Susan Gieschen, Karie Heuer, Betty Hoops, Carol Hunt, Thomas Kutschkau, Jean Muller, Allen Niedbalski, Mary Parker, Nancy Reimers, Angela Smith, Shirley Stauffer, Karen Stepp, Mel Stewart, Karen Swoboda v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court</p>	<p>2004-1278</p>
<p>Linda Benton, Joan Carman, Sylvia Clanton, Dorothy Clark, Debra Conger, Sandra Cook, Shirley Cooper, Linda Douglas, Evelyn Dudley, Brenda Embree, Sharon Englert, Debbie Garlin, Deborah Griffin, Eleanor Harrison, Anna Hogan, Trudy Lincks, Cheryl Maubach, Terry McClay, Lester McMullan, Catherine Milburn v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court</p>	<p>2004-1279</p>
<p>Laura Richard, Joyce Richins, Sally Ridner, Roberta Riggins, Catherine Rish, Susan Ritvo, Gwendolyn Robertson, Debra Rogers, Laree Rouse, Grover Rowe, Dorene Rymer, Inez Santangelo, Bobbie Saucier, Ethel Sayers, Joann Schilling, Judith Scott, Nelleen Seal, Barbara Seek, Kevin Semler v. Indevus Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Interneuron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Wyeth, Inc. F/K/A American Home Products Corporation; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., F/K/A Wyeth-Ayerst Pharmaceuticals, Inc., A Division of American Home Products Corporation; and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.</p>	<p>Middlesex Superior Court</p>	<p>2004-1281</p>